# A Study on Intuitionistic Multi-Anti Fuzzy Subgroups

R.Muthuraj<sup>1</sup>, S.Balamurugan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG and Research Department of Mathematics,H.H. The Rajah's College, Pudukkotta622 001,Tamilnadu, India.
<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,Velammal College of Engineering & Technology, Madurai-625 009,Tamilnadu, India.

#### ABSTRACT

For any intuitionistic multi-fuzzy set  $A = \{ < x , \mu_A(x) , v_A(x) > : x \in X \}$  of an universe set X, we study the set  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  called the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut of A. It is the crisp multi-set  $\{ x \in X : \mu_i(x) \le \alpha_i , v_i(x) \ge \beta_i , \forall i \}$  of X. In this paper, an attempt has been made to study some algebraic structure of intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroups and their properties with the help of their  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut sets.

#### Keywords

Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS), Intuitionistic multi-fuzzy set (IMFS), Intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup (IMAFSG), Intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy normal subgroup (IMAFNSG), ( , )–lower cut, Homomorphism.

Mathematics Subject Classification 20N25, 03E72, 08A72, 03F55, 06F35, 03G25, 08A05, 08A30.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy set by Zadeh [14] several researches were conducted on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The idea of Intuitionistic fuzzy set was given by Krassimir.T.Atanassov [1]. An Intuitionistic Fuzzy set is characterized by two functions expressing the degree of membership (belongingness) and the degree of non-membership (non-belongingness) of elements of the universe to the IFS. Among the various notions of higher-order fuzzy sets, Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets proposed by Atanassov provide a flexible framework to explain uncertainity and vagueness. An element of a multi-fuzzy set can occur more than once with possibly the same or different membership values. In this paper we study Intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup with the help of some properties of their ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ )–lower cut sets. This paper is an attempt to combine the two concepts: Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets and Intuitionistic Multi-fuzzy sets and Intuitionistic Multi-Anti fuzzy subgroups.

# 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we site the fundamental definitions that will be used in the sequel.

# 2.1 Definition [14]

Let X be a non-empty set. Then a **fuzzy set**  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ .

# **2.2 Definition [9]**

Let X be a non-empty set. A **multi-fuzzy set** A of X is defined as  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ where  $\mu_A = (\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_k)$ , that is,  $\mu_A(x) = (\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x), ..., \mu_k(x))$  and  $\mu_i : X \to [0,1]$ ,  $\forall i=1,2,...,k$ . Here k is the finite dimension of A. Also note that, for all i,  $\mu_i(x)$  is a decreasingly ordered sequence of elements. That is,  $\mu_1(x) \ge \mu_2(x) \ge ... \ge \mu_k(x), \forall x \in X$ .

# 2.3 Definition [1]

Let X be a non-empty set. An **Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS)** A of X is an object of the form  $A = \{ < x, \mu(x), \nu(x) > : x \in X \}$ , where  $\mu : X \to [0, 1]$  and  $\nu : X \to [0, 1]$  define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element  $x \in X$  respectively with  $0 \le \mu(x) + \nu(x) \le 1$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ .

## 2.4 Remark [1]

- (i) Every fuzzy set A on a non-empty set X is obviously an intuitionistic fuzzy set having the form  $A = \{ < x, \mu(x), 1-\mu(x) > : x \in X \}.$
- (ii) In the definition 2.3, When  $\mu(x) + \nu(x) = 1$ , that is, when  $\nu(x) = 1 \mu(x) = \mu^{c}(x)$ , A is called fuzzy set.

#### 2.5 Definition [13]

Let  $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$  where  $\mu_A(x) = (\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x), \dots, \mu_k(x))$  and  $\nu_A(x) = (\nu_1(x), \nu_2(x), \dots, \nu_k(x))$  such that  $0 \le \mu_i(x) + \nu_i(x) \le 1$ , for all i,  $\forall x \in X$ . Here,  $\mu_1(x) \ge \mu_2(x) \ge \dots \ge \mu_k(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . That is,  $\mu_i$ 's are decreasingly ordered sequence. That is,  $0 \le \mu_i(x) + \nu_i(x) \le 1, \forall x \in X$ , for i=1, 2, ..., k. Then the set A is said to be an **Intuitionistic Multi-Fuzzy Set (IMFS)** with dimension k of X.

#### 2.6 Remark [13]

Note that since we arrange the membership sequence in decreasing order, the corresponding non-membership sequence may not be in decreasing or increasing order.

#### 2.7 Definition [13]

Let  $A = \{ < x , \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) > : x \in X \}$  and  $B = \{ < x , \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) > : x \in X \}$  be any two IMFS's having the same dimension k of X. Then

(i)  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $\mu_A(x) \le \mu_B(x)$  and  $\nu_A(x) \ge \nu_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

- (ii) A = B if and only if  $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$  and  $\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (iii)  $\neg A = \{ < x , v_A(x), \mu_A(x) > : x \in X \}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (iv) & A \cap B = \{ < x \ , \ (\mu_{A \cap B})(x), \ (\nu_{A \cap B})(x) > : x \in X \ \}, \ where \\ & (\mu_{A \cap B})(x) = \min\{ \ \mu_A(x), \ \mu_B(x) \ \} = ( \ \min\{\mu_{iA}(x), \ \mu_{iB}(x) \ \} \ )_{i=1}^k \quad \text{ and } \\ & (\nu_{A \cap B})(x) = \max\{ \ \nu_A(x), \ \nu_B(x) \ \} = ( \ \max\{\nu_{iA}(x), \ \nu_{iB}(x) \ \} \ )_{i=1}^k \\ (v) & A \cup B = \{ < x \ , \ (\mu_{A \cup B})(x), \ (\nu_{A \cup B})(x) > : x \in X \ \}, \ where \\ & (\mu_{A \cup B})(x) = \max\{ \ \mu_A(x), \ \mu_B(x) \ \} = ( \ \max\{\mu_{iA}(x), \ \mu_{iB}(x) \ \} \ )_{i=1}^k \quad \text{ and } \\ \end{array}$$

$$(v_{A\cup B})(x) = \min\{v_A(x), v_B(x)\} = (\min\{v_{iA}(x), v_{iB}(x)\})_{i=1}^k$$

Here {  $\mu_{iA}(x)$ ,  $\mu_{iB}(x)$  } represents the corresponding  $i^{th}$  position membership values of A and B respectively. Also {  $\nu_{iA}(x)$ ,  $\nu_{iB}(x)$  } represents the corresponding  $i^{th}$  position non-membership values of A and B respectively.

#### 2.8 Theorem [13]

For any three IMFS's A, B and C, we have :

1. Commutative Law

 $A \cup B = B \cup A$  $A \cap B = B \cap A$ 

2. Idempotent Law

 $A \cup A = A$  $A \cap A = A$ 

3. De Morgan's Laws

 $\neg (A \cup B) = (\neg A \cap \neg B)$  $\neg (A \cap B) = (\neg A \cup \neg B)$ 

4. Associative Law

 $A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$  $A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C$ 

5. Distributive Law

 $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ 

### 2.9 Definition

Let  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$  be an IMFS and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in [0,1]^k$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k) \in [0,1]^k$ , where each  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i \in [0,1]$  with  $0 \le \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut of A is the set of all x such that  $\mu_i(x) \le \alpha_i$  with the corresponding  $\nu_i(x) \ge \beta_i$ ,  $\forall i$  and is denoted by  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ . Clearly it is a crisp multi-set.

#### 2.10 Definition

Let  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$  be an IMFS and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in [0,1]^k$  and  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in [0,1]^k$ 

 $(\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_k) \in [0,1]^k$ , where each  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i \in [0,1]$  with  $0 \le \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ . Then **strong**  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower **cut** of A is the set of all x such that  $\mu_i(x) < \alpha_i$  with the corresponding  $\nu_i(x) > \beta_i$ ,  $\forall i$  and is denoted by  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)^*}$ . Clearly it is also a crisp multi-set.

The following Theorem is an immediate consequence of the above definitions.

#### 2.11 Theorem [13]

Let A and B are any two IMFS's of dimension k drawn from a set X. Then  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $[B]_{(\alpha,\beta)} \subseteq [A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  for every  $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $0 \le \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1$  for all i.

## 2.12 Definition

An intuitionistic multi-fuzzy set (In short IMFS)  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in G \}$  of a group G is said to be an **intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup** of G (In short IMAFSG) if it satisfies the following : For all  $x, y \in G$ ,

- (i)  $\mu_A(xy) \le \max \{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$
- (ii)  $\mu_A(x^{-1}) = \mu_A(x)$
- (iii)  $v_A(xy) \ge \min \{v_A(x), v_A(y)\}$
- (iv)  $v_A(x^{-1}) = v_A(x)$

#### 2.13 Definition

An intuitionistic multi-fuzzy set (In short IMFS) A = {  $\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in G$  } of a group G is said to be an **intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup** of G (In short IMAFSG) if it satisfies :

(i) 
$$\mu_A(xy^{-1}) \le \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}\$$
 and  
(ii)  $\nu_A(xy^{-1}) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)\}\$ ,  $\forall x, y \in G$ 

#### 2.13.1 Remark

- (i) If A is an IFS of a group G, then we can not say about the complement of A, because it is not an IFS of G.
- (ii) If A is an IAFSG of a group G, then A<sup>c</sup> is need not be an IFS of G.
- (iii) A is an IMAFSG of a group G  $\Leftrightarrow$  each IFS { < x,  $\mu_{iA}(x)$ ,  $\nu_{iA}(x) : x \in G >$  }<sub>i=1</sub><sup>k</sup> is an IAFSG of G.
- (iv) If A is an IMAFSG of a group G, then in general, we can not say A<sup>c</sup> is an IMFSG of the group G.

#### 2.14 Definition

An IMAFSG A = {  $\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in G$  } of a group G is said to be an **intuitionistic multianti fuzzy normal subgroup** (In short IMAFNSG) of G if it satisfies :

(i) 
$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(yx)$$
 and  
(ii)  $\nu_A(xy) = \nu_A(yx)$ , for all  $x, y \in G$ 

## 2.15 Theorem

An intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup (IMAFSG) A of a group G is said to be normal if it satisfies :

(i)  $\mu_A(g^{-1}xg) = \mu_A(x)$  and (ii)  $\nu_A(g^{-1}xg) = \nu_A(x)$ , for all  $x \in A$  and  $g \in G$  **Proof** Let  $x \in A$  and  $g \in G$  be any element. Then  $\mu_A(g^{-1}xg) = \mu_A(g^{-1}(xg)) = \mu_A((xg)g^{-1})$ , since A is normal.  $= \mu_A(x(gg^{-1})) = \mu_A(xe) = \mu_A(x)$ . Hence the proof (i). Now,  $\nu_A(g^{-1}xg) = \nu_A(g^{-1}(xg)) = \nu_A((xg)g^{-1})$ , since A is normal.  $= \nu_A(x(gg^{-1})) = \nu_A(xe) = \nu_A(x)$ . Hence the proof (ii).

# 2.16 Definition

Let (G, .) be a groupoid and A, B be any two IMFS's having same dimension k of G. Then the **product** of A and B is denoted by  $A \circ B$  and it is defined as :

 $\forall x \in G, A \circ B(x) = (\mu_{A \circ B}(x), \nu_{A \circ B}(x))$  where

$$\mu_{A\circ B}(x) = \begin{cases} \max \left[\min\{\mu_A(y), \mu_B(z)\} : yz=x, \forall y, z \in G\right] \\ 0_k=(0, 0, \dots, k \text{ times}), \text{ if } x \text{ is not expressible as } x=yz \\ \min \left[\max\{\nu_A(y), \nu_B(z)\} : yz=x, \forall y, z \in G\right] \end{cases}$$
 and

$$v_{A \circ B}(x) = \begin{cases} 1_{k} = (1, 1, ..., k \text{ times}), \text{ if } x \text{ is not expressible as } x = yz \end{cases}$$

That is, 
$$\forall x \in G$$
,  

$$A \circ B(x) = \begin{cases}
(\max[\min\{\mu_A(y), \mu_B(z)\}: yz=x, \forall y, z \in G], \min[\max\{\nu_A(y), \nu_B(z)\}: yz=x, \forall y, z \in G], \\
(0_k, 1_k), \text{ if } x \text{ is not expressible as } x=yz
\end{cases}$$

That is,  $\forall x \in G$ ,

$$A \circ B(x) = \begin{cases} \max[\min\{\mu_{iA}(y), \mu_{iB}(z)\}: yz=x, \forall y, z \in G], \min[\max\{\nu_{iA}(y), \nu_{iB}(z)\}: yz=x, \forall y, z \in G]\}_{i=1}^{k} \\ 0, 1)_{k} \text{, if } x \text{ is not expressible as } x=yz \text{ where } (0, 1)_{k} = ((0, 1), (0, 1), \dots, k \text{ times}) \end{cases}$$

### 2.17 Definition

Let X and Y be any two non-empty sets and  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Let A and B be any two IMFS's having same dimension k, of X and Y respectively. Then the **image** of A( $\subseteq$ X) under the map f is denoted by f(A) and it is defined as :

 $\forall y \in Y, f(A)(y) = (\mu_{f(A)}(y), \nu_{f(A)}(y))$  where

$$\begin{split} \mu_{f(A)}(y) &= \begin{cases} \max\{\mu_A(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} \\ 0_k \text{ , otherwise} & \text{and} \end{cases} \\ \nu_{f(A)}(y) &= \begin{cases} \min\{\nu_A(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} \\ 1_k \text{ , otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{That is, } f(A)(y) &= \begin{cases} \max\{\mu_{iA}(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}, \ \min\{\nu_{iA}(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} \ )_{i=1}^k \\ (0,1)_k \text{ , otherwise where } (0,1)_k = ((0,1), (0,1), \dots, k \text{ times }) \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Also, the **pre-image** of  $B(\subseteq Y)$  under the map f is denoted by  $f^{-1}(B)$  and it is defined as :  $\forall x \in X, f^{-1}(B)(x) = (\mu_B(f(x)), \nu_B(f(x)))$ 

# 3. PROPERTIES OF $(\alpha, \beta)$ –LOWER CUT OF INTUITIONISTIC MULTI-FUZZY SET

In this section we shall prove some theorems on intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroups of a group G with the help of their  $(\alpha, \beta)$  –lower cuts.

#### 3.1 Proposition

If A and B are any two IMFS's of a universal set X, then their  $(\alpha, \beta)$  –lower cuts satisfies the following :

- (i)  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)} \subseteq [A]_{(\delta,\theta)}$  if  $\alpha \le \delta$  and  $\beta \ge \theta$
- (ii)  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $[B]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \subseteq [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$
- (iii)  $[A \cap B]_{(\alpha, \beta)} = [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \cap [B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$
- (iv)  $[A \cup B]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \subseteq [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \cup [B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  (Here equality holds if  $\alpha_i + \beta_i = 1, \forall i$ )
- (v)  $[\cap A_i]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \cap [A_i]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \theta \in [0,1]^k$

#### **3.2 Proposition**

Let (G, .) be a groupoid and A, B be any two IMFS's of G. Then we have  $[A \circ B]_{(\alpha, \beta)} = [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  $[B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$ .

#### 3.3 Theorem

If A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in [0,1]^k$ , then the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut of A,  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of G, where  $\mu_A(e) \le \alpha$ ,  $\nu_A(e) \ge \beta$  and 'e' is the identity element of G.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Proof since } \mu_A(e) \leq \alpha \ \text{and } \nu_A(e) \geq \beta \ , \ e \in [A]_{(\alpha,\,\beta)} \ . \ \text{Therefore, } [A]_{(\alpha,\,\beta)} \neq \phi. \\ \text{Let } x,y \in [A]_{(\alpha,\,\beta)} \ . \ \text{Then } \mu_A(x) \leq \alpha \ , \nu_A(x) \geq \beta \ \text{and } \mu_A(y) \leq \alpha \ , \nu_A(y) \geq \beta. \\ \text{Then } \forall i, \ \mu_{iA}(x) \leq \alpha_i \ , \nu_{iA}(x) \geq \beta_i \ \text{and } \mu_{iA}(y) \leq \alpha_i \ , \nu_{iA}(y) \geq \beta_i \ . \\ \Rightarrow \max\{\mu_{iA}(x), \mu_{iA}(y)\} \leq \alpha_i \ \text{and } \min\{\nu_{iA}(x), \nu_{iA}(y)\} \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i \ \dots \dots \dots \dots (1) \\ \Rightarrow \mu_{iA}(xy^{-1}) \leq \max\{\mu_{iA}(x), \mu_{iA}(y)\} \leq \alpha_i \ \text{and } \nu_{iA}(xy^{-1}) \geq \min\{\nu_{iA}(x), \nu_{iA}(y)\} \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i, \text{ since } A \text{ is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G and by (1).} \\ \Rightarrow \mu_{iA}(xy^{-1}) \leq \alpha_i \ \text{and } \nu_{iA}(xy^{-1}) \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i. \\ \Rightarrow \mu_A(xy^{-1}) \leq \alpha \ \text{and } \nu_A(xy^{-1}) \geq \beta \\ \Rightarrow xy^{-1} \in [A]_{(\alpha,\,\beta)} \\ \Rightarrow [A]_{(\alpha,\,\beta)} \text{ is a subgroup of G.} \end{array}$ 

Hence the Theorem.

#### 3.4 Theorem

The IMFS A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of a group  $G \Leftrightarrow \text{each}(\alpha,\beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is a subgroup of  $G, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]^k$ .

**Proof** From the above Theorem 3.3, it is clear.

#### 3.5 Theorem

If A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy normal subgroup of a group G and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in [0, 1]^k$ , then  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a normal subgroup of G, where  $\mu_A(e) \leq \alpha$ ,  $\nu_A(e) \geq \beta$  and 'e' is the identity element of G.

**Proof** Let  $x \in [A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  and  $g \in G$ . Then  $\mu_A(x) \le \alpha$  and  $\nu_A(x) \ge \beta$ .

That is,  $\mu_{iA}(x) \le \alpha_i$  and  $\nu_{iA}(x) \ge \beta_i \quad \forall i \quad \dots \dots \dots (1)$ 

Since A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy normal subgroup of G,

$$\begin{split} & \mu_{iA}(g^{-1}xg) = \mu_{iA}(x) \text{ and } \nu_{iA}(g^{-1}xg) = \nu_{iA}(x), \ \forall i. \\ \Rightarrow & \mu_{iA}(g^{-1}xg) = \mu_{iA}(x) \leq \alpha_i \text{ and } \nu_{iA}(g^{-1}xg) = \nu_{iA}(x) \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i, \ by \ using(1). \\ \Rightarrow & \mu_{iA}(g^{-1}xg) \leq \alpha_i \text{ and } \nu_{iA}(g^{-1}xg) \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i. \\ \Rightarrow & \mu_{A}(g^{-1}xg) \leq \alpha \text{ and } \nu_{A}(g^{-1}xg) \geq \beta \\ \Rightarrow & g^{-1}xg \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \\ \Rightarrow & [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \text{ is a normal subgroup of } G. \end{split}$$

Hence the Theorem.

#### 3.6 Theorem

If A is an intuitionistic multi-fuzzy subset of a group G, then A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of G  $\Leftrightarrow$  each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of G, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ .

**Proof**  $\Rightarrow$  Let A be an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G. Then by the Theorem 3.4, each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of G for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ .

← Conversely, let A be an intuitionistic multi-fuzzy subset of a group G such that each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of G for all α, β∈  $[0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1$ ,  $\forall i$ .

To prove that A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of G, we prove :

(i)  $\mu_A(xy) \le \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}\ \text{and}\ \nu_A(xy) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)\}\ \text{for all } x, y \in G$ (ii)  $\mu_A(x^{-1}) = \mu_A(x)$  and  $\nu_A(x^{-1}) = \nu_A(x)$ 

For proof (i): Let  $x, y \in G$  and for all i,

let  $\alpha_i = \max{\{\mu_{iA}(x), \mu_{iA}(y)\}}$  and  $\beta_i = \min{\{\nu_{iA}(x), \nu_{iA}(y)\}}$ .

Then  $\forall i$ , we have  $\mu_{iA}(x) \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $\mu_{iA}(y) \leq \alpha_i$  and  $\nu_{iA}(x) \geq \beta_i$ ,  $\nu_{iA}(y) \geq \beta_i$ 

That is,  $\forall i$ , we have  $\mu_{iA}(x) \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $\nu_{iA}(x) \geq \beta_i$  and  $\mu_{iA}(y) \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $\nu_{iA}(y) \geq \beta_i$ 

Then we have  $\mu_A(x) \le \alpha$ ,  $\nu_A(x) \ge \beta$  and  $\mu_A(y) \le \alpha$ ,  $\nu_A(y) \ge \beta$ 

That is,  $x \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  and  $y \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ 

Therefore,  $xy \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , since each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup by hypothesis.

Therefore,  $\forall i$ , we have  $\mu_{iA}(xy) \leq \alpha_i = \max\{\mu_{iA}(x), \mu_{iA}(y)\}$  and  $\nu_{iA}(xy) \geq \beta_i = \min\{\nu_{iA}(x), \nu_{iA}(y)\}.$ 

That is,  $\mu_A(xy) \le \max{\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}}$  and  $\nu_A(xy) \ge \min{\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)\}}$  and hence (i).

For proof (ii): Let  $x \in G$  and  $\forall i$ , let  $\mu_{iA}(x) = \alpha_i$  and  $\nu_{iA}(x) = \beta_i$ .

Then  $\mu_{iA}(x) \le \alpha_i$  and  $\nu_{iA}(x) \ge \beta_i$  is true  $\forall i$ .

Therefore,  $\mu_A(x) \le \alpha$  and  $\nu_A(x) \ge \beta$ 

Therefore,  $x \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .

Since each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of G for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  and  $x \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , we have

 $x^{-1} \in [A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  which implies that  $\mu_{iA}(x^{-1}) \le \alpha_i$  and  $\nu_{iA}(x^{-1}) \ge \beta_i$  is true  $\forall i$ .

 $\Rightarrow \mu_{iA}(x^{-1}) \le \mu_{iA}(x) \text{ and } \nu_{iA}(x^{-1}) \ge \nu_{iA}(x) \text{ is true } \forall i.$ 

Thus,  $\forall i, \mu_{iA}(x) = \mu_{iA}((x^{-1})^{-1}) \le \mu_{iA}(x^{-1}) \le \mu_{iA}(x)$  which implies that  $\mu_{iA}(x^{-1}) = \mu_{iA}(x)$ ,  $\forall i$  and hence  $\mu_A(x^{-1}) = \mu_A(x)$ .

And  $\forall i, v_{iA}(x) = v_{iA}((x^{-1})^{-1}) \ge v_{iA}(x^{-1}) \ge v_{iA}(x)$  which implies that  $v_{iA}(x^{-1}) = v_{iA}(x)$ ,  $\forall i$  and hence  $v_A(x^{-1}) = v_A(x)$ .

Hence A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of G and hence the Theorem.

#### 3.7 Theorem

If A and B are any two intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroups (IMAFSG's) of a group G, then  $(A \cup B)$  is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of G. **Proof** since A and B are IMAFSG's of G, we have  $\forall x, y \in G$ ,

- (i)  $\mu_A(xy^{-1}) \le \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \text{ and } \nu_A(xy^{-1}) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)\}$
- (ii)  $\mu_B(xy^{-1}) \le \max\{\mu_B(x), \mu_B(y)\} \text{ and } \nu_B(xy^{-1}) \ge \min\{\nu_B(x), \nu_B(y)\} \dots \dots (1)$

Now  $A \cup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_{A \cup B}(x), \nu_{A \cup B}(x) \rangle : x \in G \}$  where  $\mu_{A \cup B}(x) = \max\{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(x) \}$  and  $\nu_{A \cup B}(x) = \min\{ \nu_A(x), \nu_B(x) \}$ .

Then  $\mu_{A\cup B}(xy^{-1}) = \max\{ \mu_A(xy^{-1}), \mu_B(xy^{-1}) \}$   $\leq \max\{ \max\{ \mu_A(x), \mu_A(y) \}, \max\{ \mu_B(x), \mu_B(y) \} \}, \text{ by using (1)}$   $= \max\{ \max\{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(x) \}, \max\{ \mu_A(y), \mu_B(y) \} \}$  $= \max\{ \mu_{A\cup B}(x), \mu_{A\cup B}(y) \}$ 

and 
$$v_{A\cup B}(xy) = \min\{v_A(xy), v_B(xy)\}\$$
  
 $\geq \min\{\min\{v_A(x), v_A(y)\}, \min\{v_B(x), v_B(y)\}\}$ , by using (1)  
 $= \min\{\min\{v_A(x), v_B(x)\}, \min\{v_A(y), v_B(y)\}\}\$   
 $= \min\{v_{A\cup B}(x), v_{A\cup B}(y)\}\$ 

That is,  $\mu_{A\cup B}(xy^{-1}) \leq \max\{ \mu_{A\cup B}(x), \mu_{A\cup B}(y) \}$  and  $\nu_{A\cup B}(xy^{-1}) \geq \min\{ \nu_{A\cup B}(x), \nu_{A\cup B}(y) \}, \forall x, y \in G.$ 

Hence  $(A \cup B)$  is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of G.

Hence the Theorem.

#### 3.8 Theorem

The intersection of any two IMAFSG's of a group G need not be an IMAFSG of G.

**Proof** Consider the abelian group  $G = \{e, a, b, ab\}$  with usual multiplication such that  $a^2 = e = b^2$  and ab = ba. Let  $A = \{ < e, (0.2, 0.2), (0.7, 0.8) >, < a, (0.5, 0.5), (0.4, 0.4) >, < b, (0.5, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) >, < ab, (0.4, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) > \}$  and  $B = \{ < e, (0.3, 0.1), (0.7, 0.8) >, < a, (0.8, 0.4), (0.2, 0.6) >, < b, (0.6, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) >, < ab, (0.8, 0.4), (0.2, 0.5) > \}$  be two IMFS's having dimension two of the group G. Clearly A and B are IMAFSG's of G.

Then  $A \cap B = \{ \langle e, (0.2, 0.1), (0.7, 0.8) \rangle, \langle a, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.6) \rangle, \langle b, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.4, 0.4), (0.2, 0.5) \rangle \}$ . Here, it is easily verify that  $A \cap B$  is not an IMAFSG of G. Hence the Theorem.

#### 3.9 Theorem

Let A and B be an IMAFSG's of a group G. But it is an uncertain to verify that  $A \cap B$  is an IMAFSG of G.

**Proof** This proof is done by the following two examples that are discussed in two cases : case(i) and case(ii).

Case (i) : A and B are IMAFSG's of a group  $G \Rightarrow A \cup B$  is an IMAFSG of G but  $A \cap B$  is not an IMAFSG of the group G.

Consider the abelian group G = { e, a, b, ab } with usual multiplication such that  $a^2 = e = b^2$  and ab = ba. Let A = { < e, (0.2, 0.2), (0.7, 0.8) >, < a, (0.5, 0.5), (0.4, 0.4) >, < b, (0.5, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) >, < ab, (0.4, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) > } and B = { < e, (0.3, 0.1), (0.7, 0.8) >, < a, (0.8, 0.4), (0.2, 0.6) >, < b, (0.6, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) >, < ab, (0.8, 0.4), (0.2, 0.5) > } be two IMFS's having dimension two of the group G. Clearly A and B are IMAFSG's of G.

Then  $A \cup B = \{ \langle e, (0.3, 0.2), (0.7, 0.8) \rangle, \langle a, (0.8, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) \rangle, \langle b, (0.6, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.8, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) \rangle \}$  and  $A \cap B = \{ \langle e, (0.2, 0.1), (0.7, 0.8) \rangle, \langle a, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.6) \rangle, \langle b, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.4, 0.4), (0.2, 0.5) \rangle \}$ .

Here, it is easily verify that  $A \cup B$  is an IMAFSG of G but  $A \cap B$  is not an IMAFSG of G. Hence case (i).

Case (ii) : A and B are IMAFSG's of a group  $G \Rightarrow$  both  $A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B$  are IMAFSG's of the group G.

Consider the abelian group G = { e, a, b, ab } with usual multiplication such that  $a^2 = e = b^2$  and ab = ba. Let A = { < e, (0.2, 0.1), (0.8, 0.8) >, < a, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.6) >, < b, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) >, < ab, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) > } and B = { < e, (0.3, 0.2), (0.7, 0.7) >, < a, (0.8, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) >, < b, (0.6, 0.5), (0.4, 0.2) >, < ab, (0.8, 0.4), (0.2, 0.2) > } be two IMFS's having dimension two of the group G. Clearly A and B are IMAFSG's of G.

Then  $A \cup B = \{ \langle e, (0.3, 0.2), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle, \langle a, (0.8, 0.5), (0.2, 0.4) \rangle, \langle b, (0.6, 0.5), (0.4, 0.2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.8, 0.4), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle \}$  and  $A \cap B = \{ \langle e, (0.2, 0.1), (0.8, 0.8) \rangle, \langle a, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.6) \rangle, \langle b, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5) \rangle \}$ .

Here, it is easily to verify that both  $A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B$  are IMAFSG's of G. Hence case (ii).

From case (i) and case (ii), clearly it is an uncertain to verify that  $A \cap B$  is an IMAFSG of G.

Hence the Theorem.

#### 3.10 Theorem

Let A and B be any two IMAFSG's of a group G. Then  $A \circ B$  is an IMAFSG of  $G \Leftrightarrow A \circ B = B \circ A$ 

**Proof** Since A and B are IMAFSG's of G, each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cuts  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  and  $[B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  are subgroups of G,  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1$ ,  $\forall i$  .....(1)

Suppose A°B is an IMAFSG of G.

 $\Leftrightarrow$  each (α, β)-lower cuts [A°B]<sub>(α, β)</sub> are subgroups of G, ∀α,β∈ [0,1]<sup>k</sup> with α<sub>i</sub> + β<sub>i</sub> ≤ 1, ∀i.

Now, from (1),  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}[B]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is a subgroup of  $G \Leftrightarrow [A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}[B]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = [B]_{(\alpha,\beta)}[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ , since if H and K are any two subgroups of G, then HK is a subgroup of  $G \Leftrightarrow HK=KH$ .

$$\Leftrightarrow [A \circ B]_{(\alpha, \beta)} = [B \circ A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]^k \text{ with } \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i.$$
$$\Leftrightarrow A \circ B = B \circ A$$

Hence the Theorem.

#### 3.11 Theorem

If A is any IMAFSG of a group G, then  $A \circ A = A$ .

**Proof** Since A is an IMAFSG of a group G,

each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of G,  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1$ ,  $\forall i$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  [A]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub>[A]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub> = [A]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub>, since H is a subgroup of G  $\Rightarrow$  HH = H.

 $\Rightarrow [A \circ A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} = [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]^k \text{ with } \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i.$ 

 $\Rightarrow A \circ A = A$ 

Hence the Theorem.

# 4. INTUITIONISTIC MULTI-FUZZY COSETS

In this section we shall prove some theorems on intuitionistic multi-fuzzy cosets of a group G.

#### 4.1 Definition

Let G be a group and A be an IMAFSG of G. Let  $x \in G$  be a fixed element. Then the set  $xA = \{(g, \mu_{xA}(g), \nu_{xA}(g)) : g \in G \}$  where  $\mu_{xA}(g) = \mu_A(x^{-1}g)$  and  $\nu_{xA}(g) = \nu_A(x^{-1}g)$ ,  $\forall g \in G$  is called the intuitionistic multi-fuzzy left coset of G determined by A and x.

Similarly, the set  $Ax = \{ (g, \mu_{Ax}(g), \nu_{Ax}(g)) : g \in G \}$  where  $\mu_{Ax}(g) = \mu_A(gx^{-1})$  and  $\nu_{Ax}(g) = \nu_A(gx^{-1}), \forall g \in G \text{ is called the intuitionistic multi-fuzzy right coset of G determined by A and x.$ 

#### 4.2 Remark

It is clear that if A is an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy normal subgroup of G, then the intuitionistic multi-fuzzy left coset and the intuitionistic multi-fuzzy right coset of A on G coincides and in this case, we simply call it as intuitionistic multi-fuzzy coset.

#### 4.3 Example

Let G be a group. Then A = { < x,  $\mu_A(x)$ ,  $\nu_A(x)$  >,  $x \in G / \mu_A(x) = \mu_A(e)$  and  $\nu_A(x) = \nu_A(e)$  } is an

intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy normal subgroup of G.

**Proof** It is easy to verify.

#### 4.4 Theorem

Let A be an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G and x be any fixed element of G. Then the following are holds :

(i)  $x[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = [xA]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ (ii)  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}x = [Ax]_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k \text{ with } \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i.$ 

**Proof** For proof (i),

Now  $[xA]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \{ g \in G : \mu_{xA}(g) \le \alpha \text{ and } \nu_{xA}(g) \ge \beta \}$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ .

Also  $x[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = x\{ y \in G : \mu_A(y) \le \alpha \text{ and } \nu_A(y) \ge \beta \}$ = {  $xy \in G : \mu_A(y) \le \alpha \text{ and } \nu_A(y) \ge \beta \} \dots(1)$ 

put  $xy = g \implies y = x^{-1}g$ . Then (1) becomes as,

$$\begin{split} x[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)} &= \{ g \in G : \mu_A(x^{-1}g) \leq \alpha \text{ and } \nu_A(x^{-1}g) \geq \beta \} \\ &= \{ g \in G : \mu_{xA}(g) \leq \alpha \text{ and } \nu_{xA}(g) \geq \beta \} \\ &= [xA]_{(\alpha,\beta)} \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $x[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = [xA]_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ .

Hence the proof (i).

For proof (ii),

Now  $[Ax]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \{ g \in G : \mu_{Ax}(g) \le \alpha \text{ and } \nu_{Ax}(g) \ge \beta \} \text{ with } \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i.$ 

Also  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}x = \{ y \in G : \mu_A(y) \le \alpha \text{ and } \nu_A(y) \ge \beta \}x$ =  $\{ yx \in G : \mu_A(y) \le \alpha \text{ and } \nu_A(y) \ge \beta \} \dots (2)$ 

put  $yx = g \implies y = gx^{-1}$ . Then (2) becomes as,

$$\begin{split} [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} x &= \{ \ g \in G : \mu_A(gx^{-1}) \leq \alpha \ \text{ and } \nu_A(gx^{-1}) \geq \beta \ \} \\ &= \{ \ g \in G : \mu_{Ax}(g) \leq \alpha \ \text{ and } \nu_{Ax}(g) \geq \beta \ \} \\ &= [Ax]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $[A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}x = [Ax]_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ .

Hence the proof (ii) and hence the Theorem.

#### 4.5 Theorem

Let A be an intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G. Let x,y be any two elements of G such that  $\alpha = \max\{ \mu_A(x), \mu_A(y) \}$  and  $\beta = \min\{ \nu_A(x), \nu_A(y) \}$ . Then the

following are holds :

(i)  $xA = yA \iff x^{-1}y \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ (ii)  $Ax = Ay \iff xy^{-1} \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ (iii)

**Proof** For (i), Now  $xA = yA \Leftrightarrow [xA]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = [yA]_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ .

 $\Leftrightarrow x[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} = y[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}, by \text{ Theorem 4.4(i).}$  $\Leftrightarrow x^{-1}y \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \text{ since each } (\alpha, \beta)\text{-lower cut } [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \text{ is a}$ 

subgroup of G. Hence the proof (i).

For (ii), Now Ax = Ay  $\Leftrightarrow [Ax]_{(\alpha, \beta)} = [Ay]_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k \text{ with } \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i.$   $\Leftrightarrow [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}x = [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}y$ , by Theorem 4.4(ii).  $\Leftrightarrow xy^{-1} \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , since each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a

subgroup of G. Hence the proof (ii) and hence the Theorem.

# 5. HOMOMORPHISM OF INTUITIONISTIC MULTI-ANTI FUZZY SUBGROUPS

In this section we shall prove some theorems on intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroups of a group with the help of a homomorphism.

#### **5.1 Proposition**

Let  $f : X \to Y$  be an onto map. If A and B are intuitionistic multi-fuzzy sets having the dimension k of X and Y respectively, then for each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cuts  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  and  $[B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , the following are holds :

(i) 
$$f([A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}) \subseteq [f(A)]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$$

(ii)  $f^{-1}([B]_{(\alpha,\beta)}) = [f^{-1}(B)]_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k \text{ with } \alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i.$ 

**Proof** For (i), Let  $y \in f([A]_{(\alpha, \beta)})$ .

Then there exists an element  $x \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  such that f(x) = y.

Then we have  $\mu_A(x) \le \alpha$  and  $\nu_A(x) \ge \beta$ , since  $x \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .

 $\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \mu_{iA}(x) \leq \alpha_i \text{ and } \nu_{iA}(x) \geq \beta_i \text{ ,} \forall i. \\ &\Rightarrow \min\{\mu_{iA}(x) : x \in f^1(y)\} \leq \alpha_i \text{ and } \max\{\nu_{iA}(x) : x \in f^1(y)\} \geq \beta_i \text{ ,} \forall i. \\ &\Rightarrow \min\{\mu_A(x) : x \in f^1(y)\} \leq \alpha \text{ and } \max\{\nu_A(x) : x \in f^1(y)\} \geq \beta \\ &\Rightarrow \mu_{f(A)}(y) \leq \alpha \text{ and } \nu_{f(A)}(y) \geq \beta \\ &\Rightarrow y \in [f(A)]_{(\alpha,\beta)} \end{aligned}$ 

Therefore, f(  $[A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ )  $\subseteq$  [f(A)]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub>,  $\forall A \in IMFS(X)$ . Hence the proof (i). For the proof (ii),

 $\begin{array}{rl} Let \; x \! \in \! [f^{-1}(B)]_{(\alpha,\;\beta)} & \Leftrightarrow \{x \! \in \! X: \mu_{f}^{-1}{}_{(B)}(x) \leq \alpha \; , \; \nu_{f}^{-1}{}_{(B)}(x) \geq \beta \; \} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{x \! \in \! X: \mu_{if}^{-1}{}_{(B)}(x) \leq \alpha_{i} \; , \; \nu_{if}^{-1}{}_{(B)}(x) \geq \beta_{i} \; \}, \forall i. \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{x \! \in \! X: \mu_{iB}(f(x)) \leq \alpha_{i} \; , \; \nu_{iB}(f(x)) \geq \beta_{i} \; \}, \forall i. \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \Leftrightarrow \{ x \in X : \mu_B(f(x)) \leq \alpha \ , \ \nu_B(f(x)) \geq \beta \ \} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{ x \in X : f(x) \in [B]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \ \} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{ x \in X : x \in f^{-1}([B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \ \} \\ & \Leftrightarrow f^{-1}([B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \end{split}$$

Hence the proof (ii).

#### 5.2 Theorem

Let  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be an onto homomorphism and if A is an IMAFSG of group  $G_1$ , then f(A) is an IMAFSG of group  $G_2$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 3.6, it is enough to prove that each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cuts  $[f(A)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of  $G_2$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \le 1, \forall i$ .

Let  $y_1, y_2 \in [f(A)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ . Then  $\mu_{f(A)}(y_1) \leq \alpha$ ,  $\nu_{f(A)}(y_1) \geq \beta$  and  $\mu_{f(A)}(y_2) \leq \alpha$ ,  $\nu_{f(A)}(y_2) \geq \beta$  $\Rightarrow \mu_{if(A)}(y_1) \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $\nu_{if(A)}(y_1) \geq \beta_i$  and  $\mu_{if(A)}(y_2) \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $\nu_{if(A)}(y_2) \geq \beta_i$ ,  $\forall i$ . ....(1)

By the proposition 5.1(i), we have  $f([A]_{(\alpha,\beta)}) \subseteq [f(A)]_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ ,  $\forall A \in IMFS(G_1)$ 

Since f is onto, there exists some  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $G_1$  such that  $f(x_1) = y_1$  and  $f(x_2) = y_2$ . Therefore, (1) becomes as,

 $\mu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_1)\ ) \leq \alpha_i \ , \nu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_1)\ ) \geq \beta_i \ and \ \mu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_2)\ ) \leq \alpha_i \ , \nu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_2)\ ) \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i.$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mu_{iA}(x_1) \leq \mu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_1)\ ) \leq \alpha_i \ , \ \nu_{iA}(x_1) \geq \nu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_1)\ ) \geq \beta_i \ and \\ \mu_{iA}(x_2) \leq \mu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_2)\ ) \leq \alpha_i \ , \ \nu_{iA}(x_2) \geq \nu_{if(A)}(\ f(x_2)\ ) \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i.$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mu_{iA}(x_1) \leq \alpha_i \ , \ \nu_{iA}(x_1) \geq \beta_i \ \text{ and } \mu_{iA}(x_2) \leq \alpha_i \ , \ \nu_{iA}(x_2) \geq \beta_i \ , \forall i.$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mu_A(x_1) \leq \alpha \ , \ \nu_A(x_1) \geq \beta \ \text{ and } \ \mu_A(x_2) \leq \alpha \ , \ \nu_A(x_2) \geq \beta$ 

 $\Rightarrow \max\{\mu_A(x_1), \mu_A(x_2)\} \le \alpha \text{ and } \min\{\nu_A(x_1), \nu_A(x_2)\} \ge \beta$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mu_A(x_1x_2^{-1}) \le \max\{\mu_A(x_1), \ \mu_A(x_2)\} \le \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_A(x_1x_2^{-1}) \ge \min\{\nu_A(x_1), \ \nu_A(x_2)\} \ge \beta \text{ ,since } A \in IMAFSG(G_1).$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mu_A(x_1x_2^{-1}) \le \alpha \text{ and } \nu_A(x_1x_2^{-1}) \ge \beta$ 

 $\Rightarrow x_1 x_2^{\text{-1}} \in [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ 

 $\Rightarrow f(x_1 x_2^{-1}) \in f( [A]_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \subseteq [f(A)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ 

 $\Rightarrow f(x_1)f(x_2^{-1}) \in [f(A)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ 

 $\Rightarrow f(x_1)f(x_2)^{\text{-1}} \in [f(A)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  y<sub>1</sub>y<sub>2</sub><sup>-1</sup> $\in$  [f(A)]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub>

 $\Rightarrow [f(A)]_{(\alpha, \beta)} \text{ is a subgroup of } G_2 , \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]^k .$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  f(A)  $\in$  IMAFSG(G<sub>2</sub>)

Hence the Theorem.

### 5.3 Corollary

If  $f: G_1 \to G_2$  be a homomorphism of a group  $G_1$  onto a group  $G_2$  and  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of IMAFSG's of group  $G_1$ , then  $f(\cup A_i)$  is an IMAFSG of group  $G_2$ .

#### 5.4 Theorem

Let  $f: G_1 \to G_2$  be a homomorphism of a group  $G_1$  into a group  $G_2$ . If B is an IMAFSG of  $G_2$ , then  $f^1(B)$  is also an IMAFSG of  $G_1$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 3.6, it is enough to prove that each  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cuts  $[f^{1}(B)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a subgroup of  $G_{1}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^{k}$  with  $\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} \leq 1, \forall i$ .

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in [f^{-1}(B)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ . Then it implies that

 $\mu_{f^{-1}(B)}^{-1}(x_1) \leq \alpha \ , \ \nu_{f^{-1}(B)}^{-1}(x_1) \geq \beta \ \text{ and } \ \mu_{f^{-1}(B)}^{-1}(x_2) \leq \alpha \ , \ \nu_{f^{-1}(B)}^{-1}(x_2) \geq \beta.$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mu_B(f(x_1)) \leq \alpha \ , \ \nu_B(f(x_1)) \geq \beta \ \text{ and } \ \mu_B(f(x_2)) \leq \alpha \ , \ \nu_B(f(x_2)) \geq \beta.$ 

- $\Rightarrow \max\{ \ \mu_B(f(x_1)), \ \mu_B(f(x_2)) \ \} \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \min\{ \ \nu_B(f(x_1)), \ \nu_B(f(x_2)) \ \} \geq \beta.$
- $\Rightarrow \mu_{B}(f(x_{1})f(x_{2})^{-1}) \leq \max\{ \mu_{B}(f(x_{1})), \mu_{B}(f(x_{2})) \} \leq \alpha \text{ and} \\ \nu_{B}(f(x_{1})f(x_{2})^{-1}) \geq \min\{ \nu_{B}(f(x_{1})), \nu_{B}(f(x_{2})) \} \geq \beta \text{ ,since } B \in IMAFSG(G_{2}).$
- $\Rightarrow f(x_1)f(x_2)^{-1} \in [B]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$
- $\Rightarrow$  f(x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub><sup>-1</sup>)  $\in$  [B]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub>, since f is a homomorphism.

 $\Rightarrow$  x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub><sup>-1</sup> $\in$  f<sup>-1</sup>([B]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub>) = [f<sup>-1</sup>(B)]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub>, by the proposition 5.1(ii).

- $\Rightarrow x_1 x_2^{-1} \in [f^{-1}(B)]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$
- $\Rightarrow$  [f<sup>-1</sup>(B)]<sub>( $\alpha, \beta$ )</sub> is a subgroup of G<sub>1</sub>
- $\Rightarrow$  f<sup>-1</sup>(B) is an IMAFSG of G<sub>1</sub>.

Hence the Theorem.

#### 5.5 Theorem

Let  $f: G_1 \to G_2$  be a surjective homomorphism and if A is an IMAFNSG of group  $G_1$ , then f(A) is also an IMAFNSG of group  $G_2$ .

**Proof** Let  $g_2 \in G_2$  and  $y \in f(A)$ .

Since f is surjective,

there exists  $g_1 \in G_1$  and  $x \in A$  such that f(x) = y and  $f(g_1) = g_2$ .

Since A is an IMAFNSG of G<sub>1</sub>,

$$\mu_A(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = \mu_A(x)$$
 and  $\nu_A(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = \nu_A(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in A$  and  $g_1 \in G_1$ .

Now consider,  $\mu_{f(A)}(g_2^{-1}yg_2) = \mu_{f(A)}(f(g_1^{-1}xg_1))$ , since f is a homomorphism.

```
\begin{split} &= \mu_{f(A)}(y') \text{, where } y' = f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(x') : f(x') = y' \text{ for } x' \in G_1 \, \} \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(x') : f(x) = f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) \text{ for } x' \in G_1 \, \} \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(g_1^{-1}xg_1) : f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = y' = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \text{ for } x \in A, \, g_1 \in G_1 \} \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(x) : f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \text{ for } x \in A, \, g_1 \in G_1 \} \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(x) : f(g_1)^{-1}f(x)f(g_1) = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \text{ for } x \in A, \, g_1 \in G_1 \} \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(x) : g_2^{-1}f(x)g_2 = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \text{ for } x \in G_1 \} \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(x) : g_2^{-1}f(x)g_2 = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \text{ for } x \in G_1 \} \\ &= \min\{ \, \mu_A(x) : f(x) = y \text{ for } x \in G_1 \} \\ &= \mu_{f(A)}(y) \end{split}
```

Similarly, we can easily prove that  $v_{f(A)}(g_2^{-1}yg_2) = v_{f(A)}(y)$ .

Hence f(A) is an IMAFNSG of  $G_2$  and hence the Theorem.

# 5.6 Theorem

If A is an IMAFNSG of a group G, then there exists a natural homomorphism  $f: G \to G/A$  is defined by f(x) = xA,  $\forall x \in G$ .

**Proof** Let  $f : G \to G/A$  be defined by f(x) = xA,  $\forall x \in G$ .

Claim1: f is a homomorphism

That is, to prove:  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y), \forall x, y \in G$ .

That is, to prove: (xy)A = (xA)(yA),  $\forall x, y \in G$ .

Since A is an IMAFNSG of G, we have  $\mu_A(g^{-1}xg) = \mu_A(x)$  and  $\nu_A(g^{-1}xg) = \nu_A(x), \forall x \in A \text{ and } g \in G.$ 

Or, equivalently,  $\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(yx)$  and  $\nu_A(xy) = \nu_A(yx)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in G$ .

Also,  $\forall g \in G$ , we have

 $(xA)(g) = (\mu_{xA}(g), \nu_{xA}(g)) = (\mu_A(x^{-1}g), \nu_A(x^{-1}g))$ 

 $(yA)(g) = (\mu_{yA}(g), \nu_{yA}(g)) = (\mu_A(y^{-1}g), \nu_A(y^{-1}g))$ 

 $[(xy)A](g) = (\mu_{(xy)A}(g), \nu_{(xy)A}(g)) = (\mu_{A}[(xy)^{-1}g], \nu_{A}[(xy)^{-1}g])$ \for \ge G, by definition 2.16, we have

 $[(xA)(yA)](g) = (\max[\min\{\mu_{xA}(r), \mu_{yA}(s)\} : g = rs], \min[\max\{\nu_{xA}(r), \nu_{yA}(s)\} : g = rs])$ =  $(\max[\min\{\mu_A(x^{-1}r), \mu_A(y^{-1}s)\} : g = rs], \min[\max\{\nu_A(x^{-1}r), \nu_A(y^{-1}s)\} : g = rs])$ 

Claim2:  $\mu_A[(xy)^{-1}g] = \max[\min\{\mu_A(x^{-1}r), \mu_A(y^{-1}s)\} : g = rs]$  and  $\nu_A[(xy)^{-1}g] = \min[\max\{\nu_A(x^{-1}r), \nu_A(y^{-1}s)\} : g = rs], \forall g \in G.$ 

Now consider  $\mu_A[(xy)^{-1}g] = \mu_A[y^{-1}x^{-1}g]$ =  $\mu_A[y^{-1}x^{-1}rs]$ , since g = rs. =  $\mu_A[y^{-1}(x^{-1}rsy^{-1})y]$ =  $\mu_A[x^{-1}rsy^{-1}]$ , since A is normal.  $\leq max \{ \mu_A(x^{-1}r), \mu_A(sy^{-1}) \}$ , since A is IMAFSG of G. =  $max \{ \mu_A(x^{-1}r), \mu_A(y^{-1}s) \}$ ,  $\forall g = rs \in G$ , since A is normal

Therefore,  $\mu_A[(xy)^{-1}g] = \min[\max\{\mu_A(x^{-1}r), \mu_A(y^{-1}s)\} : g = rs], \forall g \in G.$ = max[ min{  $\mu_A(x^{-1}r), \mu_A(y^{-1}s)$ } : g = rs],  $\forall g \in G.$ 

Similarly, we can easily prove  $v_A[(xy)^{-1}g] = \min[\max\{v_A(x^{-1}r), v_A(y^{-1}s)\} : g = rs], \forall g \in G.$ Hence the claim2.

Thus,  $[(xy)A](g) = [(xA)(yA)](g), \forall g \in G.$ 

 $\Rightarrow (xy)A = (xA)(yA)$  $\Rightarrow f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  f is a homomorphism

Hence the claim1 and hence the Theorem.

# 6. CONCLUSION

In the theory of fuzzy sets, the level subsets are vital role for its development. Similarly, the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut of an intuitionistic multi-fuzzy sets are very important role for the development of the theory of intuitionistic multi-fuzzy sets. In this paper an attempt has been made to study some algebraic natures of intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy subgroups and their properties with the help of their  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -lower cut sets.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Atanassov K.T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986), no.1, 87-96.
- [2] Basnet D.K. and Sarma N.K., A note on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Equivalence Relation, International Mathematical Forum, 5, 2010, no.67, 3301-3307.
- [3] Biswas R., Vague Groups, International Journal of Computational Cognition, Vol.4, no.2, June 2006.
- [4] Das P.S., Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 84 (1981), 264-269.
- [5] Kul Hur and Su Youn Jang, The lattice of Intuitionistic fuzzy congruences, International Mathematical Forum, 1, 2006, no.5, 211-236.
- [6] Mukharjee N.P. and Bhattacharya P., Fuzzy normal subgroups and fuzzy cosets, Information Sciences, 34 (1984), 225-239.

- [7] Muthuraj R. and Balamurugan S., Multi-Anti fuzzy group and its Lower level subgroups, International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, Vol.3, Issue 6, Nov-Dec 2013, pp.1498-1501.
- [8] Rosenfeld A., Fuzzy groups, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 35 (1971), 512-517.
- [9] Sabu S. and Ramakrishnan T.V., Multi-fuzzy sets, International Mathematical Forum, 50 (2010), 2471-2476.
- [10] Sabu S. and Ramakrishnan T.V., Multi-fuzzy topology, International Journal of Applied Mathematics (accepted).
- [11] Sabu S. and Ramakrishnan T.V., Multi-fuzzy subgroups, Int. J. Contemp. Math.Sciences, Vol.6, 8 (2011), 365-372.
- [12] Sharma P.K., Intuitionistic Fuzzy Groups, ifrsa International Journal of Data warehousing and Mining, vol.1, 2011, iss.1, 86-94.
- [13] Shinoj T.K. and Sunil Jacob John, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Multisets, International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology, Vol.2, 2013, Issue 6, 1-24.
- [14] Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control 8, (1965), 338-353.