

A POSITIVE INTEGER N SUCH THAT

$$p_n + p_{n+3} \sim p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}$$

FOR ALL $n \geq N$

Pham Minh Duc, Luong Van Tam

VNU University of Science, 334, Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan Trung Ward, Thanh Xuan District, Hanoi, Vietnam

ABSTRACT

According to Bertrand's postulate, we have $p_n + p_n \geq p_{n+1}$. Is it true that for all $n > 1$ then $p_{n-1} + p_n \geq p_{n+1}$? Then $p_n + p_{n+3} > p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}$ where $n \geq N$, N is a large enough value?

KEYWORDS

Bertrand's Postulate, Rosser's theorem, L'Hospital Rule, prime number.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1845, Bertrand conjectured what became known as Bertrand's postulate: twice any prime strictly exceeds the next prime. Tchebichef presented his proof of Bertrand's postulate in 1850 and published it in 1852. It is now sometimes called the Bertrand-Chebyshev theorem. Surprisingly, a stronger statement seems not to be well known, but is elementary to prove: The sum of any two consecutive primes strictly exceeds the next prime, except for the only equality $2 + 3 = 5$. After I conjectured and proved this statement independently, a very helpful referee pointed out that Ishikawa published this result in 1934 (with a different proof). This observation is a special case of a much more general result, Theorem 1, that is also elementary to prove (given the prime number theorem), and perhaps not previously noticed: If p_n denotes the n th prime, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots$, and then there exists a positive integer N such that $p_n + p_{n+3} \sim p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}$ for all $n \geq N$. We give the following result.

2. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 1. If n are nonnegative integers, then there exists a large enough positive integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$, $p_n + p_{n+3} \sim p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}$.

Applying Rosser's theorem for all $n \geq 6$, we have

$$n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) < p_n < n(\ln n + \ln \ln n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)[\ln(n+1) + \ln \ln(n+1) - 1] &< p_{n+1} < (n+1)[\ln(n+1) + \ln \ln(n+1)] \\ (n+2)[\ln(n+2) + \ln \ln(n+2) - 1] &< p_{n+2} < (n+2)[\ln(n+2) + \ln \ln(n+2)] \\ (n+3)[\ln(n+3) + \ln \ln(n+3) - 1] &< p_{n+3} < (n+3)[\ln(n+3) + \ln \ln(n+3)] \end{aligned}$$

Consider the expression

$$A = \frac{n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) + (n + 3)[\ln(n + 3) + \ln \ln(n + 3) - 1]}{(n + 1)[\ln(n + 1) + \ln \ln(n + 1)] + (n + 2)[\ln(n + 2) + \ln \ln(n + 2)]}$$

We consider the following limit

$$B = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n + \ln \ln n + \frac{1}{\ln n} + \ln(n + 3) + \ln \ln(n + 3) + \frac{1}{\ln(n+3)}}{\ln(n + 1) + \ln \ln(n + 1) + 2 + \frac{1}{\ln(n+1)} + \ln(n + 2) + \ln \ln(n + 2) + \frac{1}{\ln(n+2)}}$$

Taking the ln of the numerator and denominator and applying L'Hospital Rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1)}{\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n [\ln(n + 3) + \ln \ln(n + 3) - 1]} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln n + \ln \ln n - 1 + n \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\ln n} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln n + \ln \ln n + \frac{1}{\ln n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n + 3) + \ln \ln(n + 3) - 1 + (n + 3) \left(\frac{1}{n + 3} + \frac{1}{\ln(n + 3)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n + 3) + \ln \ln(n + 3) + \frac{1}{\ln(n + 3)} \\ & \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n [\ln(n + 1) + \ln \ln(n + 1)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n + 1) + \ln \ln(n + 1) + (n + 1) \left(\frac{1}{n + 1} + \frac{1}{\ln(n + 1)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n + 1) + \ln \ln(n + 1) + \frac{1}{\ln(n + 1)} + 1 \\ & \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n [\ln(n + 2) + \ln \ln(n + 2)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n + 2) + \ln \ln(n + 2) + (n + 2) \left(\frac{1}{n + 2} + \frac{1}{\ln(n + 2)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n + 2) + \ln \ln(n + 2) + \frac{1}{\ln(n + 2)} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then we see

$$B = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n + \ln \ln n + \frac{1}{\ln n} + \ln(n + 3) + \ln \ln(n + 3) + \frac{1}{\ln(n+3)}}{\ln(n + 1) + \ln \ln(n + 1) + 2 + \frac{1}{\ln(n+1)} + \ln(n + 2) + \ln \ln(n + 2) + \frac{1}{\ln(n+2)}}$$

When $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then

$$B = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n + \ln(n+3)}{\ln(n+1) + \ln(n+2)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln(n^2 + 3n)}{\ln(n^2 + 3n + 2)} = 1$$

(Because $n^2 + 3n \sim n^2 + 3n + 2$, for $n \rightarrow +\infty$)

Or, for $n \geq N$, N is a large enough positive integer, then $A \sim 1$,

$$\frac{n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) + (n+3)[\ln(n+3) + \ln \ln(n+3) - 1]}{(n+1)[\ln(n+1) + \ln \ln(n+1)] + (n+2)[\ln(n+2) + \ln \ln(n+2)]} \sim 1$$

It turns out, $\frac{p_n + p_{n+3}}{p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}} \sim 1$, or have mean is $p_n + p_{n+3} \sim p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}$.

3. CONCLUSION

In short, that is also elementary to prove (given the prime number theorem), and perhaps not previously noticed: If p_n denotes the n th prime, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots$, and then there exists a positive integer N such that $p_n + p_{n+3} \sim p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}$ for $\forall n \geq N$. But can not confirm that $p_n + p_{n+3} = p_{n+1} + p_{n+2}$ where $n \geq N$, N is a large enough value?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank VNU University of Science for accompanying me.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bertrand, "M'emoire sur le nombre de valeurs que peut prendre une fonction quand on y permute les lettres qu'elle renferme", Journal de l'Ecole Royale Polytechnique Cahier 30, 18 (1845), 123–140.
- [2] P. Tchebichef, "M'emoire sur les nombres premiers", Journal de math'ematiques pures et appliquees series 1, 17 (1852), 366–390.
- [3] H. Ishikawa, "1934 Ueber die Verteilung der Primzahlen", Science Reports of the Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku, Section A 2 (1934) (31/32), 27-40.
- [4] J. B. Rosser, and L. Schoenfeld, Lowell, "Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers", Illinois Journal of Mathematics 6 (1962) (1), 64-94.
- [5] R. P. Brent, J. van de Lune, H. J. J. te Riele, and D. T. Winter, *On the zeros of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip. II*, Math. Comp. 39 (1982), no. 160, 681–688.
- [6] M. Cipolla, *La determinazione assintotica dell' n^{imo} numero primo*, Matematiche Napoli 3 (1902), 132-166.
- [7] J. van de Lune, H. J. J. te Riele, and D. T. Winter, *On the zeros of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip. IV*, Math. Comp. 46 (1986), no. 174, 667–681.
- [8] Jean-Pierre Massias and Guy Robin, *Bornes effectives pour certaines fonctions concernant les nombres premiers*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 8 (1996), no. 1, 215–242.
- [9] Guy Robin, *Estimation de la fonction de Tchebychef θ sur le k -ième nombre premier et grandes valeurs de la fonction $n \log n$ nombre de diviseurs premiers de n* , Acta Arith. 42 (1983), no. 4, 367–389.
- [10] J. B. Rosser, *The n -th prime is greater than $n \log n$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 45 (1939), 21-44.
- [11] Leonard Eugene Dickson, *New First Course in the Theory of Equations*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1939.
- [12] J. Barkley Rosser and Lowell Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 64–94.
- [13] Wilhelm Wirtinger, *Über eine Minimalaufgabe im Gebiete der analytischen Funktionen von mehreren Veränderlichen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 47 (1939), 426–431.

- [14] Wilhelm Wirtinger, *Über eine Minimalaufgabe im Gebiete der analytischen Funktionen von mehreren Veränderlichen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 47 (1939), 426–431.
- [15] Pierre Dusart (1999). "The k th prime is greater than $k(\log k + \log \log k - 1)$ for $k \geq 2$ ". *Mathematics of Computation*. 68 (225): 411–415.